Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/799,998	BOYD ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Kevin M. Nguyen	2629
All Participants:	Status of Application:	·
(1) <u>Kevin M. Nguyen</u> .	(3)	
(2) <u>David R. Cleveland</u> .	(4)	
Date of Interview: 20 March 2007	Time:	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ A  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	Applicant's representative)	·
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: None		
Claims discussed: claims 24, 29 and 34		
Prior art documents discussed: None		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE Generally discussed examiner's amendment before the case		WAS DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
<ul> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa directly resulted in the allowance of the applicatio of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.</li> <li>It is not necessary for applicant to provide a sepa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief suit</li> </ul>	n. The examiner will provide a varate record of the substance of	written summary of the substance the interview, since the interview
·		
	·	
•		
Konin M. May un		
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (App	olicant/Applicant's Representativ	e Signature – if appropriate)